**Introduction**

Basal Cell Carcinomas (BCC) are the most common skin cancers originating in the epidermis. Basal cell carcinomas originate in the basal layer of keratinocytes, which is the deepest cell layer of the epidermis. Squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) stem from the more superficial layers of keratinocytes. Based on their histology, the lesions called “actinic keratoses” appear to be early stages of squamous cell carcinoma (1). Sun exposure with cumulative effect, old burns scars, ultra-violet exposure as in tanning beds and PUVA therapy for psoriasis, local radiotherapy, arsenic exposure and smoking are all considered to be aetiological factors in the appearance of those skin cancers.

BCCs are classified as nodular, infiltrative, superficial apparently multifocal and mixed in terms of their growth pattern. They remain local, rarely if ever metastasise but can become enormous in size and ulcerate, giving a picture of “Ulcer Rodens” (eroding ulcer). SCCs do metastasise in around 4% of cases, but otherwise seem to have the same aetiology and the same local behaviour.

**Presentation:**

I saw this patient, an elderly gentleman in his eighties, in 2005, when he accompanied his wife for a treatment. It took him some time to ask if I would like to try treating him. The situation is that of recurrent scalp BCCs and SCCs secondary to local "UV therapy" in his youth to “re-grow hair”. (In my opinion, this was most probably rather radiotherapy for *Tinea capitis*.)

As seen in the picture, he has undergone multiple resections and plastic procedures, the last one a few weeks ago for a huge bleeding tumour. A general treatment (homeopathy, herbs, nutritional supplementation, etc...) was unsuccessful due to compliance problems as well as the cancers having become independent entities, not under the control of the body’s immune system any more. There was a need to control the local growth. The patient agreed to try what was then an experimental treatment and to have the results published. Picture taken 10.1.2006.

A close-up picture: After 2 weeks of treatment, 26.1.2006: The scalp is cleaner, small lesions have disappeared: 14.2.2006:

The amelioration continued until the main lesion covered itself with a horny layer, preventing contact with the local treatment. 22.3.2006: (Next page)
Note the rest of the scalp has apparently cleared. This resistance was overcome by using the blistering ability of local fresh garlic applications that destroyed the superficial layer and allowed the local treatment to penetrate again. The garlic was left in place for only a few hours as this patient’s lesions were known to bleed easily and profusely.

19.4.2006:

It took about 9 months to completely clean the skin. Because of the aetiology of his cancer was radiation, which is lot more harsh and intense than sun exposure, lesions kept coming back but were immediately taken care of as soon as they appeared, with the same treatment. The local applications never covered the whole scalp, therefore were not dealing with the cancer stem cells (CSC) that were apparently disseminated inside the scalp due to the widespread irradiation. There is no demonstration that this treatment can actually destroy the CSC. The clinical observation was that the recurrences happened in spots that were previously not affected, prompting me to suggest that there could be an action on the CSC; this needs of course to be investigated properly.

Following are the results for a few other patients:

All of them have given verbal or written consent to use and publish their pictures.

Three weeks between those pictures!
Once a treatment has been started, it should be done properly and at least 3-4 weeks until the lesions cannot be seen or felt in order to destroy all the cancerous cells. Otherwise we can end with a situation like this:

Four months between those pictures. The treatment was done once or twice a week in an irregular manner instead of an intensive, aggressive approach that such an extensive lesion would require. The lesion has grown and there is infiltration of the skin at the periphery of the lesion. Irritating cancer cells promotes their growth and spread, that is a known fact. The biological behaviour of a tumour should be understood and respected.

Other patients sent me written reports without pictures, describing successes. One in particular did the treatment while being on the waiting list for a Mohs procedure. Despite the lesion having regressed, the surgeon proceeded with the intervention, instead of waiting and encouraging the patient to continue with “whatever she was doing” and to everybody’s surprise (!), could not find any cancer cell anywhere. Many people indicated they were starting the treatment but did not report further. Therefore I do not have a statistically valid series of cases. Nevertheless, this treatment is working and has many advantages as we will see.

The remedy
So, what is this natural, topical remedy? *Brassica oleracea var Botrytis!* (Better known as broccoli, from the *Brassicaceae* family, which was formerly known as “cruciferae.”) According to John Boik (2), the Brassica family contains those carcinogenesis inhibitors specific to the cruciferous plants:

- **Agents that block carcinogen activation:**
  - Aromatic isothiocyanates and glucosinolates (glucobrassin, glucotropaeolin)
  - Indole 3 Carbinol (I3C) causes apoptosis and prevents spread (3)
  - I3C and Genistein increase the amount of BRCA 1 & 2 in cells preventing the transmission of damaged genetic material in next cellular generation (genetic relative deficiency).
  - Sulforaphane inside the broccoli cells inhibits the oxidizing enzymes that damages DNA (*New Scientist*)

Sulforaphane is the most studied and best known broccoli-specific agent, also found in all the other cruciferaceae. The mechanisms of action are diverse and well studied. Even though many of those imply internal use of broccoli, I decided to use it locally so that an extremely high concentration could be achieved.

Sulforaphane is an organosulfur substance that has anti-cancer, and antimicrobial properties. The enzyme myrosinase transforms glucoraphanin, a glucosinolate, into sulforaphane upon damage to the plant, akin to the appearance of allicin when garlic is crushed. Young sprouts are particularly rich in glucoraphanin and can be used instead of the vegetable, but are more expensive and not always available.

Many vegetables and especially cruciferous vegetables induce enzymes of xenobiotic metabolism and thereby accelerates the metabolic disposal of xenobiotic chemicals. Induction of phase II detoxication enzymes, such as quinone reductase and glutathione S-transferases in rodent tissues affords protection against carcinogens and other toxic compounds. Sulforaphane is the most potent inducer, and the presence of oxygen on sulfur enhances potency. “Sulforaphane and its sulfide and sulfone analogues induced both quinone reductase and glutathione transferase activities in several mouse tissues. The induction of detoxication enzymes by sulforaphane may be a significant component of the anticarcinogenic action of broccoli.” (4).

It also induces apoptosis, “suicide” of cancer cells (5).

And it has been shown to act on telomerase activity, which is an essential component of aging and cancer induction (6).

One study used local broccoli extract, but this was done with boiling and chemical extraction, making it a pharmaceutical substance rather than a natural one:

“Topical application of sulforaphane-rich extracts of 3-day-old broccoli sprouts up-regulated phase 2 enzymes in the mouse and human skin, protected against UVR-induced inflammation and oedema in mice, and reduced susceptibility to erythema arising from narrow-band 311-nm UVR in humans. In six human subjects (three males and three females, 28–53 years of age), the mean reduction in erythema across six doses of UVR (300–800 mJ/cm² in 100 mJ/cm² increments) was 37.7% (range 8.37–78.1%; P = 0.025). This protection against a carcinogen in humans is catalytic and long lasting.” (7). It also works by down regulating the DNA methylation of genes controlling cancer occurrence, sulforaphane acting as HDAC or histone deacetylase, an epigenetic mechanism (8).

There are many studies that have investigated the mechanism of action of sulforaphane (see References). There is no doubt whatsoever that this is a potent tool in the treatment (and prevention) of all cancers.

Discussion
The purpose of using a topical application, as mentioned above, is to achieve a very high concentration of active components at the tumour location that would otherwise not be possible or practical. Even though those skin cancers are seen as local events, it is absolutely essential in my opinion to add the general approaches of lifestyle and nutritional changes, homeopathic and herbal general treatments according to every practitioner’s knowledge. The presence of a cancer, even caused by a clear local injury, means that the immune defence system has been overcome or bypassed; it has to be restored to normal to avoid recurrences or the appearance of deeper, more aggressive pathologies. This method as presented here involves the patient in his or her treatment 100% as s/he fully controls what s/he is doing; it is also simple, cheap and effective while being pain free and not aggressive towards normal tissues. This fits perfectly with my personal philosophy of “Power to the people”.

We use the florets of the broccoli. Those contain the highest concentration of active substances; if available, sprouts can be used instead. The original recommendation was to juice them so that the cells are broken and the maximal amount of sulforaphane is produced. Some juicers cannot manage the small florets and many patients do not have a juicer or cannot afford to buy one. One patient solved this problem by using a mortar and pestle until the plant was reduced to an extremely wet pulp with a pasty, mushy, consistence: it worked very well and has
worked well with other patients. Once the juice is extracted, if using a juicer, it is mixed with the dry pulp until a wet, almost dripping, “mush” is created. This is so that all the active substances are available. We tried to use the juice with gauze or cotton balls instead of the dry pulp; the activity was reduced to almost nil. I attribute this to adsorption on the gauze/cotton fibres. The “mush” is generously put on the lesion and covered with a plastic cling film (such as "glad wrap") that prevents the liquid from escaping and increases the local concentration. It is then covered in any practical way possible, depending on the location of the BCC. Ideally, this should be changed every few hours to ensure a permanent saturation in active components. This of course is not always possible. Leaving it overnight and changing the dressing during the night if waking up is a practical and effective method. The juice or mush has to be prepared fresh every time. Keeping it in the fridge is tempting; the activity of the preparation diminishes in time and might not be effective after a few hours, hence the need to change the application as often as practical with freshly prepared broccoli. The plant itself does not need to be freshly picked (active substances are mainly intracellular) or be organic: plain, cheap supermarket broccoli works very well.

If the lesion seems to burrow into the skin or to be covered with a thick layer that prevents contact with the juice, a short application of a slice of fresh garlic or some crushed garlic will solve that problem. Care should be taken not to leave the garlic too long as it has blistering properties (that is why we use it in this indication) and can cause pain. The good news is that it has also local anti-cancer properties and its use will not slow the treatment.

The different and multiple actions of the active components are active only on cancer cells and leave the normal tissues totally unharmed, and unstained. This very selective destruction allows the normal tissues to replace the dead cancerous cells “on the go” and prevents any scarring. There should be no pain and no bleeding unless the cancer cells have invaded a nerve or a blood vessel. If any local pain appears, it will resolve without any interference and so far I have not received any report saying otherwise or needing any type of intervention. Bleeding is controlled by local pressure, as no major vessel should be involved. This is an important difference and advantage over “black salve”, the escharotic treatments, local chemotherapy, local radiotherapy and of course surgery. These other treatments are often painful and may leave scars. For the same reasons, the broccoli treatment does not work on benign, non-cancerous lesions (tried) and on warts (tried). Once a treatment has been started, it is recommended to continue until at least 3–4 weeks after the visible or palpable lesion has disappeared, to ensure each and every single cancerous cell has been destroyed. This time is a “guesstimate” of course, but also a safety measure. Last but certainly not least, it is simple and cheap, no special skills are needed except some help at the beginning to learn how to dress the spot when in an awkward location. And it cannot be patented, although I have seen some (expensive) creams and ointments containing broccoli extract.

Inevitably, some lesions will be resistant to the topical treatment. Within the same line of reasoning, but without any clinical concrete results yet, I have suggested to replace the broccoli with either green tea or turmeric. The green tea could be a tea bag barely wetted so that no drinking tea is made but wet enough to have the fluid slowly escape; the turmeric should be of excellent quality (which is always a problem) and made into a paste. One option is also to rotate those three different plants so that as many active ingredients as possible are put into contact with the tumour. Extending this practice to locally reachable lesions, I can imagine that this method may be used in the treatment of cervical cancers or lower rectal cancers – although I have not had any experience with these cancers. One theoretical advantage is that the active ingredients would also be absorbed using the same lymphatic and venous pathways that metastasise use, allowing for their treatment with high local concentration, in parallel with a general treatment. For the time being, I would not mix those three different herbs in one single “poultice” as I do not have any idea whether the ingredients would react, precipitate, become inactive or possibly potentiate each other. I will leave this research to phytopharmacologists who have access to laboratory equipment.

Conclusion
A natural topical treatment for some skin cancers has been presented. It is simple, it is cheap, it is effective, it is based on research and scientific experimentation, it is painless and non-mutilating, and is deprived of side-effects. It totally involves the patient in his own care and in case of failure does not in any way prevent the application of other treatments. It should be the treatment of first choice, but also accompanied by a general, deeper treatment.
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